**ABSTRACT**

**Background**
Composite filling materials have been increasingly used for the restoration of posterior teeth in recent years as a tooth-coloured alternative to amalgam. As with any filling material composites have a finite life-span. Traditionally, replacement was the ideal approach to treat defective composite restorations, however, repairing composites offers an alternative more conservative approach to the tooth structure where restorations are partly still serviceable. Repairing the restoration has the potential of taking less time and may sometimes be performed without the use of local anaesthesia hence it may be less distressing for a patient when compared with replacement.

**Objectives**
To evaluate the effects of replacing (with resin composite) versus repair (with resin composite) in the management of defective resin composite dental restorations in permanent molar and premolar teeth.

**Search methods**
For the identification of studies relevant to this review we searched the Cochrane Oral Health Group’s Trials Register (to 24 July 2013); the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (The Cochrane Library 2013, Issue 6); MEDLINE via OVID (1946 to 24 July 2013); EMBASE via OVID (1980 to 24 July 2013); BIOSIS via Web of Knowledge (1969 to 24 July 2013); Web of Science (1945 to 24 July 2013); and OpenGrey (to 24 July 2013). Researchers, experts and organisations known to be involved in this field were contacted in order to trace unpublished or ongoing studies. No restrictions were placed on the language or date of publication when searching the electronic databases.

**Selection criteria**
Trials were selected if they met the following criteria: randomised controlled trial (including split-mouth studies), involving replacement and repair of resin composite restorations in adults with a defective molar restoration in a permanent molar or premolar teeth.
Data collection and analysis
Two review authors independently assessed titles and abstracts for each article identified by the searches in order to decide whether the article was likely to be relevant. Full papers were obtained for relevant articles and both review authors studied these. The Cochrane Collaboration statistical guidelines were to be followed for data synthesis.

Main results
The search strategy retrieved 298 potentially eligible studies, after de-duplication. After examination of the titles and abstracts, full texts of potentially relevant studies were retrieved but none of the retrieved studies met the inclusion criteria of the review.

Authors’ conclusions
There are no published randomised controlled trials relevant to this review question. There is therefore a need for methodologically sound randomised controlled trials that are reported according to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement (www.consort-statement.org/). Further research also needs to explore qualitatively the views of patients on repairing versus replacement and investigate themes around pain, anxiety and distress, time and costs.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY
Tooth-coloured, non-metallic fillings: is it better to repair or replace faulty non-metallic fillings for adults?

Review question
Is it more effective to repair or replace faulty tooth-coloured fillings (resin composite) placed in molar teeth towards the back of the mouth in adults?

Background
Fillings are used as part of general dental treatment to rebuild teeth after a patient develops tooth decay or damages the surface of their tooth in some way.

Fillings also help to prevent further damage occurring (this can be through further tooth decay under the filling or from impact), but they must be maintained to ensure that the fillings continue to protect what is left of the original tooth.

Tooth-coloured filling materials have increasingly been used in many countries as an alternative to the more traditional amalgam or metallic fillings. As with any filling material these fillings have a limited life-span and eventually problems will occur when they break down or become faulty.

Traditionally faulty fillings have been replaced, however this approach may involve the loss of further bits of tooth as the cavity is emptied and re-filled.

An alternative approach is to repair the faulty filling. Repairing fillings may take less time, and as some repairs can be performed without the need to numb the area (local anaesthesia), a repair may be less distressing for the patient when compared with the option of replacing the filling. Issues such as pain, anxiety, distress, time and cost are important considerations for dentists as well as patients.

This review aims to compare whether it is better to replace or repair resin composite fillings. The evidence for repair or replacement of amalgam fillings is contained in a separate review.

Study characteristics
This review of existing studies was carried out by the Cochrane Oral Health Group, and the evidence is current up to 24 July 2013.

Key results
No trials were found that were suitable for inclusion in this review.

Quality of the evidence
Currently there is no evidence to support repairing or replacing resin composite fillings for adults.

Further well-conducted research is required before an evidence-based recommendation can be supported.